2018 Spay/Neuter Reimbursement Summary

			Quarterly Data			
	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	2018	
Total Funds Available:	\$84,970.19	\$56,018.54				
Tier 1 Funds Available:	\$42,485.10	\$28,009.27				
Tiers 2/3 Funds Available:	\$42,485.09	\$28,009.27				
Total Amt Eligible:	\$108,943.66	\$130,950.61				
Tier 1 Amt Eligible:	\$45,819.05	\$61,502.19				
Tiers 2/3 Amt Eligible:	\$63,124.61	\$69,448.42				
Total Funds Reimbursed:	\$84,970.19	\$56,018.54				
Tier 1 Amt Reimbursed:	\$42,485.10	\$28,009.27				
Tiers 2/3 Amt Reimbursed:	\$42,485.09	\$28,009.27				
Avg Reimbursement:	\$3,147.04	\$1,931.67				
Tier 1 Avg Reimbursement:	\$4,720.57	\$2,546.30				
Tiers 2/3 Avg Reimbursement:	\$2,360.28	\$1,556.07				
Total Procedures:	1,537	1682				
Tier 1 Avg Procedures:	61	57.5				
Tiers 2/3 Avg Procedures:	55	58.8	·			
Participating Entities:	27	29	·			
Tier 1 Participating Entities:	9	11	·			
Tiers 2/3 Participating Entities:	18	18				

				Reimbursemen	t Data			
				Amt		# of	Amt	% of Eligible
County/Muncipality	Tier	Population	Period	Requested	Amt Eligible	Procedures	Reimbursed	Reimbursed
Beaufort	2	47,759	1st Qtr:	\$2,120.26	\$2,120.26	23	\$2,063.47	97.3%
,			2nd Qtr:	\$3,398.50	\$3,398.50	34	\$1,450.07	42.7%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
		[Total:					
Bladen	1	35,190	1st Qtr:	\$3,501.03	\$3,389.24	42	\$3,389.24	100%
			2nd Qtr:	\$4,356.42	\$4,091.50	50	\$2,580.41	63.1%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
		[Total:					
Buncombe	3	238,318	1st Qtr:	\$2,330.00	\$2,330.00	49	\$882.53	37.9%
			2nd Qtr:	\$2,410.00	\$2,410.00	50	\$428.28	17.8%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
Burke	2	90,912	1st Qtr:	\$2,697.00	\$2,697.00	54	\$2,546.02	94.4%
			2nd Qtr:	\$2,702.00	\$2,702.00	57	\$1,278.52	47.3%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
Burlington, City of	2	49,963	1st Qtr:	\$8,688.14	\$8,688.14	93	\$4,384.11	50.5%
			2nd Qtr:	\$9,379.49	\$9,379.49	100	\$2,240.16	23.9%
		[3rd Qtr:					
		[4th Qtr:					
		[Total:					

Cabarrus	3	178,011	1st Qtr:	\$85.00	\$85.00	2	\$45.50	53.5%
			2nd Qtr:	\$625.00	\$625.00	13	\$149.08	23.9%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
Caldwell	2	83,029	1st Qtr:	\$4,970.00	\$4,970.00	106	\$4,970.00	100%
	•		2nd Qtr:	\$5,330.00	\$5,330.00	112	\$2,747.78	51.6%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
			•		•		•	
Chowan	1	14,793	1st Qtr:	\$2,406.00	\$2,381.24	32	\$2,381.24	100%
	•		2nd Qtr:	\$2,091.00	\$2,058.82	25	\$2,058.82	100.0%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
							,	
Davidson	2	162,878	1st Qtr:	\$4,555.00	\$4,555.00	70	\$1,843.38	40.5%
	•	•	2nd Qtr:	\$3,945.00	\$3,945.00	62	\$777.22	19.7%
			3rd Qtr:	·				
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
Davie	2	41,240	1st Qtr:	\$3,430.00	\$3,430.00	58	\$3,430.00	100%
	<u>.</u>		2nd Qtr:	\$3,940.00	\$3,940.00	64	\$3,163.18	80.3%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:		1			
					•		•	
Harnett	2	114,678	1st Qtr:	\$7,611.23	\$7,611.23	87	\$3,249.71	42.7%
			2nd Qtr:	\$3,744.01	\$3,744.01	36	\$640.78	17.1%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
							•	
Haywood	3	59,036	1st Qtr:	\$4,740.00	\$4,740.00	102	\$4,740.00	100%
	•	•	2nd Qtr:	\$5,295.00	\$5,295.00	111	\$3,829.71	72.3%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
							•	
Madison	2	20,764	1st Qtr:	\$1,130.00	\$1,130.00	33	\$1,130.00	100%
	•	-	2nd Qtr:	\$1,405.00	\$1,405.00	38	\$1,405.00	100.0%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
					•		•	
					¢2.7F7.10	40	\$3,757.18	100%
Martin	1	24,505	1st Qtr:	\$3,757.18	\$3,757.18	40	75,757.10	10070
Martin	1	24,505	1st Qtr: 2nd Qtr:	\$3,757.18 \$3,365.57	\$3,757.18	34	\$2,521.09	74.9%
Martin	1	24,505			i			
Martin	1	24,505	2nd Qtr:		i			

McDowell	1	44,996	1st Qtr:	\$4,065.45	\$3,994.18	43	\$3,994.18	100%
	•	•	2nd Qtr:	\$3,942.26	\$3,942.26	44	\$3,263.85	82.8%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
Moore	3	88,247	1st Qtr:	\$5,950.00	\$5,839.36	98	\$4,760.82	81.5%
			2nd Qtr:	\$12,345.00	\$11,402.86	135	\$3,118.72	27.4%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
		•						
Orange	3	133,801	1st Qtr:	\$6,804.98	\$6,804.98	81	\$2,591.52	38.1%
			2nd Qtr:	\$5,892.18	\$5,892.18	67	\$1,021.55	17.3%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
D - III		20.540	4	d465.00	6465.65		6465.00	40001
Polk	2	20,510	1st Qtr:	\$465.00	\$465.00	7	\$465.00	100%
			2nd Qtr:	\$2,875.00	\$2,875.00	43	\$2,875.00	100.0%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
Randolph*	2	147,752	1st Qtr:	\$3,740.00	\$3,740.00	60	\$1,810.56	48.4%
	I	, -	2nd Qtr:	\$3,932.00	\$3,932.00	53	\$761.54	19.4%
			3rd Qtr:	1-7	, -,		1	
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
Richmond	1	46,639	1st Qtr:	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0.0%
		•	2nd Qtr:	\$16,511.49	\$16,511.49	164	\$6,388.67	38.7%
			3rd Qtr:	•				
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
Robeson*	1	134,168	1st Qtr:	\$15,327.00	\$15,288.46	189	\$11,954.51	78.2%
			2nd Qtr:	\$16,039.00	\$16,039.00	152	\$2,059.48	12.8%
			3rd Qtr:					
			4th Qtr:					
			Total:					
r							1	
Scotland	1	36,157	1st Qtr:	\$9,159.42	\$9,112.53	94	\$9,112.53	100%
			2nd Qtr:	\$8,973.38	\$8,973.38	90	\$4,521.54	50.4%
			3rd Qtr:				1	
			4th Qtr:				1	
			Total:					
Stokes	2	47,401	1st Qtr:	\$1,663.64	\$1,663.64	25	\$1,663.64	100%
JUNES		77,701	2nd Qtr:	\$1,795.00	\$1,795.00	27	\$1,003.04	64.7%
			3rd Qtr:	71,755.00	71,733.00	۷,	γ±,101.27	UT.770
			4th Qtr:		 		+ +	
			Total:		 		+ +	
			Total.					

0 100% 0 100.0%
0 100.0%
0%
100.0%
2 100%
5 55.6%
0 100%
74.0%
1
62.6%
34.5%
1
100%
86.1%
1
3

⁻ Population from 2010 Census Data

⁻ Amounts eligible and requested may differ due to procedure caps

^{*} Indicates 2 entities within county/municipality participating